



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
03/19/2004	Shane Mayor	50139-00001	7656	
25231 7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP			ALSOMIRI, ISAM A	
3151 SOUTH VAUGHN WAY SUITE 411 AURORA, CO 80014		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			TAI ER NOMBER	
Į	03/24/2006 MANN & BREYFOO JGHN WAY	03/24/2006 MANN & BREYFOGLE LLP UGHN WAY	03/24/2006 EXAM MANN & BREYFOGLE LLP UGHN WAY ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/804,863	MAYOR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Isam Alsomiri	3662		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23 and 64, drawn to transmitting a beam having wavelength of between 1.5-1.8 and having first value of divergence, a detector have a second value at least as great as the first value, classified in class 362, subclass 553.
- II. Claims 24-28, drawn to a scanner, operatively associated with a transmitter and a detector subsystem for scanning across an angular range of interest, classified in class 359, subclass 205.
- III. Claim 29, drawn to a lidar system provides a signal to noise ratio greater than 10 at a distance of 15 km for an optical signal integration time of less than 0.1 second and a beam elevation of less than 5 degrees, classified in class 359, subclass 333+.
- IV. Claims 30-37, drawn to a beam processor operatively interposed between the laser pump and the beam directing optics including a wavelength shifter, and optics for conditioning the wavelength shifted beam, classified in class 359, subclass 333+.
- V. Claims 38-42, drawn to producing a beam pulse energy of at least 100 mJ/pulse, and has an energy, and has an energy within the eye safety standards, classified in class 359, subclass 333+.

- VI. Claims 43-49, drawn to Ramen cell having a pressure of no more than about 15 atm, classified in class 359, subclass 334.
- VII. Claims 50-52 and 65, drawn to a seed source for transmission with the source beam of a laser pump, classified in class 359, subclass 349.
- VIII. Claims 53-61 and 66, drawn to a receiver with focusing optics interposed between the collection optics and the detector, classified in class 359, subclass 410.
- IX. Claims 62-63, drawn to a telescope optically coupled to the detector and has a transmissivity of at least 70%, classified in class 359, subclass 399.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I to IX are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, each subcombination I-IX has separate utility because each is separately usable, and because each group does not require the specifics (described above) of the other groups. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam Alsomiri whose telephone number is 571-272-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,863

Art Unit: 3662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isam Alsomiri

March 19, 2006

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 5